

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 44

May 1, 2018

312 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

| | |
|--|----|
| ARC Capital, LLC v. Asia Pacific Ltd. (Order), 328 C 929 | 69 |
| Disciplinary Counsel v. Hickey, 328 C 688 | 42 |
| <i>Application for reinstatement to bar after resignation; whether defendant attorney's knowing and voluntary waiver of right to apply for reinstatement served as permanent bar to such application; whether 2014 amendment to rule of practice [§ 2-53 (b)] pertaining to reinstatement applications retroactively applied to defendant's 2012 application; whether § 2-53 required trial court to forward defendant's reinstatement application to standing committee on recommendations for admission to bar; whether trial court had inherent authority to entertain motions to dismiss defendant's reinstatement application on ground that he was ineligible to apply for reinstatement; whether trial court properly granted motions to dismiss.</i> | |
| Dixon v. Eastcoast Music Mall (Order), 328 C 927 | 67 |
| FirstLight Hydro Generating Co. v. Stewart, 328 C 668. | 22 |
| <i>Trespass; whether trial court properly found that defendants were trespassing on plaintiff's property; whether trial court's factual finding relating to plaintiff's ownership of land was supported by sufficient evidence; claim that trial court's injunctive relief was overly broad and exceeded scope of relief sought by plaintiff.</i> | |
| Frantzen v. Davenport Electrical (Order), 328 C 928 | 68 |
| In re Kyllan V. (Order), 328 C 929. | 69 |
| NationStar Mortgage LLC v. Washington (Order), 328 C 930 | 70 |
| State v. Daniel W. (Order), 328 C 929. | 69 |
| State v. Porter, 328 C 648. | 2 |
| <i>Assault of public safety personnel; interfering with officer; possession of narcotic substance; claim that defendant's conviction of both assault of public safety personnel and interfering with officer violated constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly reviewed evidence presented at trial in connection with its double jeopardy analysis; whether Appellate Court properly rejected defendant's double jeopardy claim; claim that allowing court to review evidence in determining whether offenses arose from same act or transaction contravened constitutional principles of notice and unduly complicated defendant's legal defense.</i> | |
| State v. Smith (Order), 328 C 927 | 67 |
| U.S. Bank National Association v. Christophersen (Order), 328 C 928. | 68 |
| Volume 328 Cumulative Table of Cases | 71 |

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Akers v. University of Connecticut Law School (Memorandum Decision), 181 CA 903 . . 213A

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Practice Book Revisions appears beginning on Page 1PB. The proposed revisions were published in the Law Journal of April 24, 2018, and are posted on the Judicial Branch website at www.jud.ct.gov/pb.htm.

| | |
|---|------|
| Carter v. Watson, 181 CA 637 | 199A |
| <i>Sovereign immunity; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss; claim that defendant employees of Department of Correction deprived plaintiff inmate of due process rights; whether trial court properly dismissed claim for monetary damages against defendants in their official capacities for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on ground that doctrine of sovereign immunity barred claim for monetary damages; claim that plaintiff's allegations fell under exception to sovereign immunity doctrine on ground that defendants' conduct was in excess of authority they derived from department administrative directive; whether trial court improperly dismissed claim for declaratory relief on ground of mootness where plaintiff had been transferred from facility at which defendants were employed; whether allegations satisfied capable of repetition, yet evading review exception to mootness doctrine.</i> | |
| First Data Merchant Services v. People's Power & Gas, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 181 CA 902 | 212A |
| Jepsen v. Camassar, 181 CA 492. | 54A |
| <i>Declaratory judgment; action seeking to declare that modifications to beach deed were invalid; claim that trial court improperly held that 2014 modification to beach deed was in full force and effect when beach deed required written vote of majority of forty-eight properties in subdivision to modify restrictions on use of beach; whether trial court improperly found that plaintiffs waived objection to insufficient notice of vote on 2014 modification by participating in hearing; whether there was sufficient evidence in record to substantiate trial court's finding that owners of majority of properties casted votes in writing that were in favor of 2014 modification; whether plaintiffs satisfied burden of proof as to slander of title claim; whether trial court abused its discretion in declining to award plaintiffs attorney's fees for defense against certain allegedly frivolous special defenses.</i> | |
| Johnson v. Commissioner of Correction, 181 CA 572. | 134A |
| <i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court could have abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal concerning issue that it never considered and was not raised in petition for certification to appeal.</i> | |
| Parshall v. Josephy (Memorandum Decision), 181 CA 902. | 212A |
| State v. Black (Memorandum Decision), 181 CA 902. | 212A |
| State v. Grajales, 181 CA 440 | 2A |
| <i>Assault in first degree; carrying pistol without permit; whether trial court improperly instructed jury on consciousness of guilt; whether consciousness of guilt instruction on basis of flight was proper where evidence revealed that defendant returned to place of residence and left scene of crime in open or nonfurtive manner; claim that prejudicial effect of instruction on flight outweighed probative value.</i> | |
| State v. Stephenson, 181 CA 614 | 176A |
| <i>Failure to appear in first degree; possession of narcotics; engaging police in motor vehicle pursuit; falsely reporting incident in second degree; interfering with officer;</i> | |

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

| | |
|--|------|
| <i>whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's request for ten minute recess to discuss with his attorney plea deal offered by court; whether evidence was sufficient to sustain conviction of possession of narcotics; whether jury reasonably could have found that defendant had exclusive control of vehicle in which narcotics were found and that he constructively possessed narcotics found in vehicle he had abandoned while fleeing from police.</i> | |
| State v. Turner, 181 CA 535 | 97A |
| <i>Felony murder; robbery in first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree; whether there was sufficient evidence to convict defendant of conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree; reviewability of unpreserved claim that defendant's due process right to fair trial was violated when trial court qualified police officer as expert witness and admitted cell phone coverage maps into evidence; whether trial court's qualification of police officer as expert witness and admission of cell phone coverage maps into evidence warranted reversal of judgment under plain error doctrine; claim that multiple instances of prosecutorial impropriety during closing arguments deprived defendant of due process right to fair trial; claim that prosecutor improperly referred to facts that were not in evidence or invited speculation when he urged jury to find where defendant was at particular times on basis of cell phone evidence; claim that prosecutor improperly vouched for his own credibility when he commented about defendant's having offered fake address and identification to police; claim that prosecutor's comment that defendant did things that pointed only to his guilt and not to his innocence improperly suggested to jury that defendant had burden to prove his innocence; claim that prosecutor's sarcastic remark about defendant's ability to cash checks was improper; unpreserved claim that trial court's second supplemental instruction misled jury about essential elements of robbery in first degree.</i> | |
| State v. Vega, 181 CA 456 | 18A |
| <i>Murder; home invasion; burglary in first degree; attempt to commit murder; attempt to commit assault in first degree; carrying pistol without permit; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting into evidence as spontaneous utterances under applicable provision (§ 8-3 [2]) of Connecticut Code of Evidence certain statements that witnesses had made to police; whether statements of certain witnesses were made under circumstances that negated opportunity for deliberation and fabrication; whether trial court properly determined that statements in letter from witness were inadmissible under applicable provision (§ 8-6 [4]) of Connecticut Code of Evidence on ground that they were not against witness' penal interest; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's right to confrontation when it admitted into evidence certain statements that witness made during phone conversation that was overheard by police officer; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's right to confrontation when it admitted into evidence certain statements that witness made directly to police officer; whether statements were testimonial in nature; whether admission of witness' statements to police officer was harmless beyond reasonable doubt.</i> | |
| Steller v. Steller, 181 CA 581 | 143A |
| <i>Dissolution of marriage; motion for modification; claim that trial court improperly determined that defendant's earning capacity was less than his actual income; whether trial court misinterpreted or misapplied term "earning capacity" as that term is used in relevant statute (§ 46b-82); claim that trial court's findings as to defendant's gross and net earning capacities and that sale of defendant's dental practice was expected to occur in 2016 were clearly erroneous; reviewability of claim challenging trial court's findings as to plaintiff's gross annual earning capacity and net weekly earning capacity; whether trial court properly conducted "second look" de novo review of defendant's alimony obligation in accordance with parties' agreement and properly considered criteria set forth in § 46b-82 in accordance with relevant case law; claim that trial court abused its discretion by lowering defendant's life and disability insurance obligations.</i> | |
| Torrington Savings Bank Mortgage Servicing Co. v. Chance (Memorandum Decision), 181 CA 903 | 213A |
| Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Melahn, 181 CA 607 | 169A |
| <i>Foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion when it struck eight count amended counterclaim on grounds of legal insufficiency and failure to meet transaction test; whether trial court properly rendered judgment in favor of plaintiff after having stricken each count of amended counterclaim despite named defendant's attempt to replead four of eight stricken counts by adding conclusory sentence to each of those counts; whether appeal from striking of special defenses was from appealable final judgment.</i> | |
| Volume 181 Cumulative Table of Cases | 215A |

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

| | |
|--|-----|
| Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Practice Book Revisions | 1PB |
|--|-----|

MISCELLANEOUS

| | |
|---|----|
| Notice of Attorney Discipline | 1B |
| Notice of Resignation of Attorney. | 1B |
| Office of State Ethics Advisory Opinion | 3B |
